

1 ROBERT T. HASLAM (Bar No. 71134)
2 ROBERT D. FRAM (Bar No. 126750)
3 M. PATRICIA THAYER (Bar No. 90818)
4 ANDREW C. BYRNES (Bar No. 191516)
5 HELLER EHRMAN WHITE & McAULIFFE LLP
275 Middlefield Road
Menlo Park, California 94025-3506
Telephone (650) 324-7000
Facsimile: (650) 324-0638

6 Attorneys for Plaintiff and Counterdefendant
7 OVERTURE SERVICES, INC.

8 JOHN W. KEKER (Bar No. 49092)
9 DARALYN J. DURIE (Bar No. 169825)
10 CHRISTINE P. SUN (Bar No. 218701)
11 RAVIND S. GREWAL (Bar No. 220543)
12 KEKER & VAN NEST, LLP
710 Sansome Street
San Francisco, CA 94111-1704
Telephone: (415) 391-5400
Facsimile: (415) 397-7188

13 Attorneys for Defendant and Counterclaimant
14 GOOGLE INC.

15 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
16 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION

17 OVERTURE SERVICES, INC.,
18 Plaintiff and Counterdefendant,
19
20 v.
21 GOOGLE INC.,
22 Defendant and Counterclaimant.
23

Case No. C-02-01991 JSW (EDL)

**JOINT STIPULATION AND
~~PROPOSED~~ ORDER RE
BRIEFING SCHEDULE FOR
DEFENDANT AND
COUNTERCLAIMANT GOOGLE
INC.'S MOTION TO COMPEL
PRODUCTION OF DOCUMENTS
AND TESTIMONY RE
PROSECUTION OF THE '361
PATENT**

Date: August 10, 2004
Time: 9 a.m.
Courtroom: E, 15th Floor
Judge: Hon. Elizabeth D. Laporte

24
25
26
27
28
STIPULATION RE PROPOSED
BRIEFING SCHEDULE
CASE NO. C 02-01991 JSW (EDL)

1 WHEREAS the parties have agreed to the following changes to the briefing schedule for
2 Defendant and Counterclaimant Google Inc.'s Motion to Compel Production of Documents and
3 Testimony Re Prosecution of the '361 Patent, and without alteration to any other deadlines in this
4 case;

5 IT IS THEREFORE HEREBY STIPULATED, by and between the parties hereto through
6 their respective attorneys of record, that:

- 7
- 8 1. any reply in support of Defendant and Counterclaimant Google Inc.'s Motion to
9 Compel Production of Documents and Testimony Re Prosecution of the '361 Patent by
10 Defendant and Counterclaimant Google Inc. shall be filed no later than 5:00 p.m. on
11 Friday, July 30, 2004; and
 - 12 2. oral argument in support of and in opposition to Defendant and Counterclaimant
13 Google Inc.'s Motion to Compel Production of Documents and Testimony Re
14 Prosecution of the '361 Patent shall be heard on August 24, 2004 at 9:00 a.m.

15 DATED: July 20, 2004

HELLER EHRMAN WHITE & McAULIFFE LLP

By

ANDREW C. BYRNES

Attorneys for Plaintiff and Counterdefendant
OVERTURE SERVICES, INC.

19
20 KEKER & VAN NEST, LLP

By

RAVIND S. GREWAL

Attorneys for Defendant and Counterclaimant
GOOGLE INC.

21
22
23
24 PURSUANT TO STIPULATION, IT IS SO ORDERED.

25
26 Dated: July 23, 2004

Electronic Signature Authorized

27 Honorable Elizabeth D. Laporte
28 Magistrate Judge of the United States of the Northern
District Court, Northern District of California

STIPULATION RE PROPOSED
BRIEFING SCHEDULE
CASE NO. C 02-01991 JSW (EDL)